



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,210	03/17/2004	Young-Ho Suh	8836-237 (ID12243-US)	8204
22150 7590 01/12/2007 F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797			EXAMINER URICK, MATTHEW T	
			ART UNIT 2113	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS			01/12/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/802,210

Applicant(s)

SUH ET AL.

Examiner

Matt Urick

Art Unit

2113

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30, 32, 37-41, 43, 48-54 and 57 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 31, 33-36, 42, 44-47, 55, 56 and 58 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

***Non-Final Official Action***

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Irrinki (US 5,987,632).

As per claim 1, Irrinki discloses:

A semiconductor memory device comprising:

an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns (column 2 lines 41-43: lines 57-59: columns. Figure 4 is an illustration);

means for selecting the memory cells of the array in a repair unit in a test operation mode (column 2 lines 20-29: rows and columns are each tested and evaluated individually); and

means for supplying a power voltage to the selected memory cells in the test operation mode, and cutting off power to remaining memory cells (column 9 lines 26-31, 34-38: fuses can be blown to individual rows and columns, column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column).

As per claim 2, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 1, wherein the memory cells of the array are repaired in a row unit (column 9 lines 9-17).

As per claim 3, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 2, wherein the selection means selects the memory cells of the array in the repair unit in response to a row address in the test operation mode (column 2 lines 41-49, 57-65).

As per claim 4, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 2, wherein the power voltage supplying means comprises a fuse (column 8 lines 10-23).

As per claim 5, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 4, wherein in the test operation mode, whether or not the selected memory cells comprise a memory cell having standby current failure is judged depending on variation of the power voltage (column 9 lines 51-53: the circuit is tested for leakage currents).

As per claim 6, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 5, wherein the fuse of the power supplying means is cut when the selected memory cells comprise the memory cell

Art Unit: 2113

causing the standby current failure (column 9 lines 26-31, 34-38).

As per claim 7, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 2, wherein the memory cells of the array are repaired in a column unit (column 9 lines 9-17).

As per claim 8, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 7, wherein the selection means selects the memory cells of the array in the repair unit in response to a column address in the test operation mode (column 2 lines 41-49, 57-65).

As per claim 9, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 8, wherein the power voltage supplying means comprises a fuse (column 8 lines 10-23).

As per claim 10, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 9, wherein in the test operation mode, whether or not the selected memory cells comprise the memory cell having the standby current failure is judged depending on variation of the power voltage (column 9 lines 51-53: the circuit is tested for leakage currents).

As per claim 11, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 10, wherein the fuse of the power voltage supplying means is cut when the selected memory cells comprise the memory cell having the standby current failure (column 9 lines 26-31, 34-38).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-15, 18-21, 28, 32, 39, 43, 50, 51, and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irrinki (US 5,987,632) in view of Hseih (US 5,710,550).

As per claim 12, Irrinki discloses:

A semiconductor memory device comprising:

a pad for receiving a power voltage (column 9 lines 26-31, 34-38: fuses can be blown to individual rows and columns, column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column);

a first power line connected to the pad (column 9 lines 26-31, 34-38: fuses can be blown to individual rows and columns, column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column);

an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns (column 2 lines 41-43: lines 57-59: columns. Figure 4 is an illustration);

Art Unit: 2113

power lines connected to a corresponding repair unit (column 9 line 51 – column 10 line 3);

a selection circuit for outputting selection signals for selecting the memory cells corresponding to one of the repair units in response to a row address in a test operation mode (column 2 lines 20-29: rows and columns are each tested and evaluated individually); and

a switch circuit operating in response to the selection signals, for connecting the second power line connected to the selected memory cells with the first power line and disconnecting remaining second power lines from the first power line, in the test operation mode (column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column).

Irrinki does not disclose:

a plurality of repair units, each of the plurality of repair units comprising an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns

a plurality of second power lines, each of the plurality of second power lines connected to a corresponding repair unit;

Hseih discloses a system which test a plurality of memory arrays connected together in a bus. The system is able to connect any one of the memory arrays on the bus individually (column 10 line 55 – column 11 line 8, and figure 5). Using this system would have the obvious benefit of being able to test multiple memory arrays, or work in an environment where more than one memory array is on a bus. Irrinki discloses that

Art Unit: 2113

his system is designed to test memory arrays on a bus (column 8 lines 25-28), on an end user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using the multiple memory array system of Hseih would enable the memory testing system of Irrinki to test multiple memory arrays, or work an environment where more than one device is connected to the bus.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate multiple memory arrays into the memory system of Irrinki, increasing flexibility.

As per claim 13, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 12, wherein the switch circuit comprises a fuse (column 8 lines 10-23)..

As per claim 14, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 13, wherein in the test operation mode, whether or not the selected memory cells comprise the memory cell having standby current failure is judged depending on variation of the power voltage (column 9 lines 51-53: the circuit is tested for leakage currents).

As per claim 15, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 14, wherein the fuse of the switch circuit is cut when the selected memory cells comprise the memory cell having the



Art Unit: 2113

standby current failure (column 9 lines 26-31, 34-38).

As per claim 18, Irrinki discloses:

A semiconductor memory device comprising:

a pad for receiving a power voltage (column 9 lines 26-31, 34-38: fuses can be blown to individual rows and columns, column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column);

a first power line connected to the pad (column 9 lines 26-31, 34-38: fuses can be blown to individual rows and columns, column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column);

an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns (column 2 lines 41-43: lines 57-59: columns. Figure 4 is an illustration);

power lines connected to a corresponding repair unit (column 9 line 51 – column 10 line 3);

a selection circuit for outputting selection signals for selecting the memory cells corresponding to one of the repair units in response to a row address in a test operation mode (column 2 lines 20-29: rows and columns are each tested and evaluated individually); and

a switch circuit operating in response to the selection signals, for connecting the second power line connected to the selected memory cells with the first power line and disconnecting remaining second power lines from the first power line, in the test operation mode (column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row

Art Unit: 2113

and column)..

Irrinki does not disclose:

a plurality of repair units, each of the plurality of repair units comprising an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns

a plurality of second power lines, each of the plurality of second power lines connected to a corresponding repair unit;

Hseih discloses a system which test a plurality of memory arrays connected together in a bus. The system is able to connect any one of the memory arrays on the bus individually (column 10 line 55 – column 11 line 8, and figure 5). Using this system would have the obvious benefit of being able to test multiple memory arrays, or work in an environment where more than one memory array is on a bus. Irrinki discloses that his system is designed to test memory arrays on a bus (column 8 lines 25-28), on an end user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using the multiple memory array system of Hseih would enable the memory testing system of Irrinki to test multiple memory arrays, or work an environment where more than one device is connected to the bus.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate multiple memory arrays into the memory system of Irrinki, increasing flexibility.

As per claim 19, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 18, wherein the switch circuit comprises a fuse (column 8 lines 10-23)..

As per claim 20, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 19, wherein in the test operation mode, whether or not the selected memory cells comprise the memory cell having standby current failure is judged depending on variation of the power voltage (column 9 lines 51-53: the circuit is tested for leakage currents).

As per claim 21, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 20, wherein the fuse of the switch circuit is cut when the selected memory cells comprise the memory cell having the standby current failure (column 9 lines 26-31, 34-38).

As per claim 28, Irrinki discloses:

A semiconductor memory device comprising:

a first power line for receiving a power voltage (column 9 line 51 – column 10 line 3: voltage tests are performed on the memory array based on different supply voltages);

a repair unit comprising an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns (column 2 lines 41-43: lines 57-59: columns. Figure 4 is an illustration);

Art Unit: 2113

a selection circuit for outputting selection signals for selecting the rows in response to a row address (column 2 lines 20-29: rows and columns are each tested and evaluated individually);

second power lines, each of the second power lines common-connected to the memory cells of a corresponding repair unit (column 9 line 51 – column 10 line 3); and

a switch circuit for connecting one of the second power lines to the first power line in response to the selection signals, in a test operation mode (column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column individually).

Irrinki does not disclose:

a plurality of repair units, each of the plurality of repair units comprising an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns;

outputting selection signals for selecting the rows in one of the repair units

Hseih discloses a system which test a plurality of memory arrays connected together in a bus. The system is able to connect any one of the memory arrays on the bus individually (column 10 line 55 – column 11 line 8, and figure 5). Using this system would have the obvious benefit of being able to test multiple memory arrays, or work in an environment where more than one memory array is on a bus. Irrinki discloses that his system is designed to test memory arrays on a bus (column 8 lines 25-28), on an end user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using the multiple memory array system of Hseih would enable the memory testing system of Irrinki to test multiple memory arrays, or work an environment where more than one device is connected to the bus.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate multiple memory arrays into the memory system of Irrinki, increasing flexibility.

As per claim 32, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 28, wherein the first power line is connected to a pad for receiving the power voltage (column 9 line 51 – column 10 line 3: voltage tests are performed on the memory array based on different supply voltages);

As per claim 39, Irrinki discloses:

A semiconductor memory device comprising:

a first power line for receiving a power voltage (column 9 line 51 – column 10 line 3: voltage tests are performed on the memory array based on different supply voltages);

a repair unit comprising an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns (column 2 lines 41-43: lines 57-59: columns. Figure 4 is an illustration);

a selection circuit for outputting selection signals for selecting the columns in response to a row address (column 2 lines 20-29: rows and columns are each tested and evaluated individually);

second power lines, each of the second power lines common-connected to the memory cells of a corresponding repair unit (column 9 line 51 – column 10 line 3); and

a switch circuit for connecting one of the second power lines to the first power line in response to the selection signals, and disconnecting the remaining second power

Art Unit: 2113

lines from the first power line, in a test operation mode (column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column individually).

Irrinki does not disclose:

a plurality of repair units, each of the plurality of repair units comprising an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns;

outputting selection signals for selecting the rows in one of the repair units

Hseih discloses a system which test a plurality of memory arrays connected together in a bus. The system is able to connect any one of the memory arrays on the bus individually (column 10 line 55 – column 11 line 8, and figure 5). Using this system would have the obvious benefit of being able to test multiple memory arrays, or work in an environment where more than one memory array is on a bus. Irrinki discloses that his system is designed to test memory arrays on a bus (column 8 lines 25-28), on an end user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using the multiple memory array system of Hseih would enable the memory testing system of Irrinki to test multiple memory arrays, or work an environment where more than one device is connected to the bus. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate multiple memory arrays into the memory system of Irrinki, increasing flexibility.

As per claim 43, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 39, wherein the first power line is connected to a pad for receiving the power voltage (column 9 line 51 – column 10 line 3: voltage tests are performed on the memory array based on different supply voltages).

As per claim 50, Irrinki discloses:

A method for judging standby current failure in a semiconductor memory device having an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns, the method comprising the steps of:

generating selection signals for selecting the memory cells of the array in a repair unit during a test operation mode (column 2 lines 20-29: rows and columns are each tested and evaluated individually);

supplying a power voltage to the selected memory cells in the test operation mode in response to the selection signals and cutting off power-supply to the remaining memory cells (column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column individually); and

judging whether the selected memory cells comprise a memory cell having standby current failure, depending on variation of the power voltage (column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column individually, including leakage tests).

As per claim 51, Irrinki discloses:

Art Unit: 2113

A semiconductor memory device comprising:

a first power line for receiving a power voltage (column 9 line 51 – column 10 line 3: voltage tests are performed on the memory array based on different supply voltages);

a repair unit comprising an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns (column 2 lines 41-43: lines 57-59: columns. Figure 4 is an illustration);

second power lines, each of the second power lines common-connected to the memory cells of a corresponding repair unit (column 9 line 51 – column 10 line 3); and

a switch circuit for connecting one of the second power lines to the first power line in response to the selection signals, in a test operation mode (column 9 lines 51-62: voltage tests may be performed on each row and column individually).

Irrinki does not disclose:

a plurality of repair units, each of the plurality of repair units comprising an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns;

outputting selection signals for selecting one of the repair units

Hseih discloses a system which test a plurality of memory arrays connected together in a bus. The system is able to connect any one of the memory arrays on the bus individually (column 10 line 55 – column 11 line 8, and figure 5). Using this system would have the obvious benefit of being able to test multiple memory arrays, or work in an environment where more than one memory array is on a bus. Irrinki discloses that his system is designed to test memory arrays on a bus (column 8 lines 25-28), on an end user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using the multiple memory array system of



Art Unit: 2113

Hseih would enable the memory testing system of Irrinki to test multiple memory arrays, or work an environment where more than one device is connected to the bus.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate multiple memory arrays into the memory system of Irrinki, increasing flexibility.

As per claim 57, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 51, wherein in the test operation mode, whether the memory cells of the selected repair unit comprise the memory cell having standby current failure is judged depending on variation of the power voltage (column 9 lines 51-53: the circuit is tested for leakage currents).

Claims 16, 17, 22, 23, 37, 38, 48, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irrinki (US 5,987,632) in view of Hseih (US 5,710,550), as applied above, and in further view of Petschauer (5,361,232).

As per claim 16, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 12, further comprising a precharge circuit for precharging the columns.

Petschauer discloses a system which disables the precharge circuit when testing a digital memory system (column 1 line 63 – column 2 line 19). Petschauer discloses that this enables the tester to detect different types of faults that would not be detectable in other tests, such as temperature cycling and timing tests (column 1 lines 41-49).

Irrinki discloses that a variety of tests are performed, including temperature cycling and timing tests (column 9 lines 55-63, column 10 lines 1-3), and that the purpose of this is to provide self-repair circuitry in an end-user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using Petschauer's system would enable the memory test to detect other types of errors that would not otherwise be detectable with Irrinki's system alone. Additionally, the use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the precharge circuit into the memory testing system of Irrinki and Hseih, improving test capabilities.

As per claim 17, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 16, wherein the precharge circuit is inactivated during the test operation mode .

Petschauer discloses a system which disables the precharge circuit when testing a digital memory system (column 1 line 63 – column 2 line 19). Petschauer discloses that this enables the tester to detect different types of faults that would not be detectable in other tests, such as temperature cycling and timing tests (column 1 lines 41-49).

Irrinki discloses that a variety of tests are performed, including temperature cycling and timing tests (column 9 lines 55-63, column 10 lines 1-3), and that the purpose of this is to provide self-repair circuitry in an end-user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using Petschauer's system would enable the memory test to detect other types of errors that would not otherwise be detectable with Irrinki's system alone. Additionally, the use of

Art Unit: 2113

Hseih's multiple memory array system would enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the precharge circuit into the memory testing system of Irrinki and Hseih, improving test capabilities.

As per claim 22, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 18, further comprising a precharge circuit for precharging the columns.

Petschauer discloses a system which disables the precharge circuit when testing a digital memory system (column 1 line 63 – column 2 line 19). Petschauer discloses that this enables the tester to detect different types of faults that would not be detectable in other tests, such as temperature cycling and timing tests (column 1 lines 41-49). Irrinki discloses that a variety of tests are performed, including temperature cycling and timing tests (column 9 lines 55-63, column 10 lines 1-3), and that the purpose of this is to provide self-repair circuitry in an end-user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using Petschauer's system would enable the memory test to detect other types of errors that would not otherwise be detectable with Irrinki's system alone. Additionally, the use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the precharge circuit into the memory testing system of Irrinki and Hseih, improving test capabilities.

Art Unit: 2113

As per claim 23, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 22, wherein the precharge circuit is inactivated during the test operation mode.

Petschauer discloses a system which disables the precharge circuit when testing a digital memory system (column 1 line 63 – column 2 line 19). Petschauer discloses that this enables the tester to detect different types of faults that would not be detectable in other tests, such as temperature cycling and timing tests (column 1 lines 41-49).

Irrinki discloses that a variety of tests are performed, including temperature cycling and timing tests (column 9 lines 55-63, column 10 lines 1-3), and that the purpose of this is to provide self-repair circuitry in an end-user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using Petschauer's system would enable the memory test to detect other types of errors that would not otherwise be detectable with Irrinki's system alone. Additionally, the use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the precharge circuit into the memory testing system of Irrinki and Hseih, improving test capabilities.

As per claim 37, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 28, further comprising a precharge circuit for precharging the columns.

Petschauer discloses a system which disables the precharge circuit when testing a digital memory system (column 1 line 63 – column 2 line 19). Petschauer discloses

Art Unit: 2113

that this enables the tester to detect different types of faults that would not be detectable in other tests, such as temperature cycling and timing tests (column 1 lines 41-49).

Irrinki discloses that a variety of tests are performed, including temperature cycling and timing tests (column 9 lines 55-63, column 10 lines 1-3), and that the purpose of this is to provide self-repair circuitry in an end-user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using Petschauer's system would enable the memory test to detect other types of errors that would not otherwise be detectable with Irrinki's system alone. Additionally, the use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the precharge circuit into the memory testing system of Irrinki and Hseih, improving test capabilities.

As per claim 38, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 37, wherein the precharge circuit is inactivated during the test operation mode.

Petschauer discloses a system which disables the precharge circuit when testing a digital memory system (column 1 line 63 – column 2 line 19). Petschauer discloses that this enables the tester to detect different types of faults that would not be detectable in other tests, such as temperature cycling and timing tests (column 1 lines 41-49).

Irrinki discloses that a variety of tests are performed, including temperature cycling and timing tests (column 9 lines 55-63, column 10 lines 1-3), and that the purpose of this is to provide self-repair circuitry in an end-user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using

Petschauer's system would enable the memory test to detect other types of errors that would not otherwise be detectable with Irrinki's system alone. Additionally, the use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the precharge circuit into the memory testing system of Irrinki and Hseih, improving test capabilities.

As per claim 48, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 39, further comprising a precharge circuit for precharging the columns.

Petschauer discloses a system which disables the precharge circuit when testing a digital memory system (column 1 line 63 – column 2 line 19). Petschauer discloses that this enables the tester to detect different types of faults that would not be detectable in other tests, such as temperature cycling and timing tests (column 1 lines 41-49).

Irrinki discloses that a variety of tests are performed, including temperature cycling and timing tests (column 9 lines 55-63, column 10 lines 1-3), and that the purpose of this is to provide self-repair circuitry in an end-user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using Petschauer's system would enable the memory test to detect other types of errors that would not otherwise be detectable with Irrinki's system alone. Additionally, the use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would enable the system to test multiple memory

arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the precharge circuit into the memory testing system of Irrinki and Hseih, improving test capabilities.

As per claim 49, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 48, wherein the precharge circuit is inactivated during the test operation mode.

Petschauer discloses a system which disables the precharge circuit when testing a digital memory system (column 1 line 63 – column 2 line 19). Petschauer discloses that this enables the tester to detect different types of faults that would not be detectable in other tests, such as temperature cycling and timing tests (column 1 lines 41-49).

Irrinki discloses that a variety of tests are performed, including temperature cycling and timing tests (column 9 lines 55-63, column 10 lines 1-3), and that the purpose of this is to provide self-repair circuitry in an end-user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using Petschauer's system would enable the memory test to detect other types of errors that would not otherwise be detectable with Irrinki's system alone. Additionally, the use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the precharge circuit into the memory testing system of Irrinki and Hseih, improving test capabilities.

Claims 24-27, 29, 40, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irrinki (US 5,987,632) in view of Hseih (US 5,710,550), as applied above, and in further view of Kelsey (US 3,409,828).

As per claim 24, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

A semiconductor memory device comprising:

an array of memory cells arranged in rows and columns (column 2 lines 41-43: lines 57-59: columns. Figure 4 is an illustration);

a selection circuit for selecting the memory cells of the array in a test operation mode (column 2 lines 20-29: rows and columns are each tested and evaluated individually); and

Irrinki does not disclose:

a plurality of repair units,

a plurality of third power lines, each of the plurality of third power lines electrically connected to a corresponding repair unit;

a selection circuit for selecting the memory cells of the array in one of the repair units in a test operation mode; and

Hseih discloses a system which test a plurality of memory arrays connected together in a bus. The system is able to connect any one of the memory arrays on the bus individually (column 10 line 55 – column 11 line 8, and figure 5). Using this system would have the obvious benefit of being able to test multiple memory arrays, or work in an environment where more than one memory array is on a bus. Irrinki discloses that



his system is designed to test memory arrays on a bus (column 8 lines 25-28), on an end user's system (column 2 lines 5-10). Using the multiple memory array system of Hseih would enable the memory testing system of Irrinki to test multiple memory arrays, or work an environment where more than one device is connected to the bus.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate multiple memory arrays into the memory system of Irrinki, increasing flexibility.

Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

first and second pads for respectively receiving a power voltage;

a first power line electrically connected to the first pad;

a second power line electrically connected to the second pad;

a first switch circuit for selectively connecting the first and second power lines in response to a test operation mode signal;

a second switch circuit for connecting the second power line to the third power line connected to the selected memory cells and disconnecting the remaining third power lines from the second power line, in the test operation mode.

Rabindran discloses a switching system which is able to connect multiple power supplies to a circuit using a switching mechanism. The switches are connected to 2 different power supplies, one high and one low, and can switch between the levels according to an operating signal (column 2 line 61 – column 3 line 22). Irrinki discloses that his system tests the memory array at high and low voltages, to prove that the array

Art Unit: 2113

will work in a wide range of operating conditions (column 9 lines 53-55, column 10 lines 1-3). Irrinki does not disclose whether the method used to change the voltage input includes one or two separate power sources, as it is not essential to the invention.

Using Rabindran's system would enable the voltage to switch between high and low states as required by Irrinki. Additionally, simple voltage switching systems are very well known in the art and can be adapted to a wide variety of electrical systems. The use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would also enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the voltage switching system of Rabindran into the testing systems of Hseih and Irrinki, enabling the system to vary voltage levels.

As per claim 25, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 24, wherein the second switch circuit comprises a fuse (column 8 lines 10-23).

As per claim 26, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 25, wherein in the test operation mode, whether the selected memory cells comprise the memory cell having standby current failure is judged depending on variation of the power voltage (column 9 lines 51-53: the circuit is tested for leakage currents).

As per claim 27, Irrinki discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 26, wherein the fuse of the second switch circuit is cut when the selected memory cells comprise the memory cell having the standby current failure (column 9 lines 26-31, 34-38).

As per claim 29, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 28, further comprising first and second pads for respectively receiving the power voltage.

Rabindran discloses a switching system which is able to connect multiple power supplies to a circuit using a switching mechanism. The switches are connected to 2 different power supplies, one high and one low, and can switch between the levels according to an operating signal (column 2 line 61 – column 3 line 22). Irrinki discloses that his system tests the memory array at high and low voltages, to prove that the array will work in a wide range of operating conditions (column 9 lines 53-55, column 10 lines 1-3). Irrinki does not disclose whether the method used to change the voltage input includes one or two separate power sources, as it is not essential to the invention. Using Rabindran's system would enable the voltage to switch between high and low states as required by Irrinki. Additionally, simple voltage switching systems are very well known in the art and can be adapted to a wide variety of electrical systems. The use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would also enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the voltage switching

Art Unit: 2113

system of Rabindran into the testing systems of Hseih and Irrinki, enabling the system to vary voltage levels.

As per claim 40, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 39, further comprising first and second pads for respectively receiving the power voltage.

Rabindran discloses a switching system which is able to connect multiple power supplies to a circuit using a switching mechanism. The switches are connected to 2 different power supplies, one high and one low, and can switch between the levels according to an operating signal (column 2 line 61 – column 3 line 22). Irrinki discloses that his system tests the memory array at high and low voltages, to prove that the array will work in a wide range of operating conditions (column 9 lines 53-55, column 10 lines 1-3). Irrinki does not disclose whether the method used to change the voltage input includes one or two separate power sources, as it is not essential to the invention. Using Rabindran's system would enable the voltage to switch between high and low states as required by Irrinki. Additionally, simple voltage switching systems are very well known in the art and can be adapted to a wide variety of electrical systems. The use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would also enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the voltage switching system of Rabindran into the testing systems of Hseih and Irrinki, enabling the system

to vary voltage levels.

As per claim 52, Irrinki and Hseih do not disclose:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 50, further comprising first and second pads for respectively receiving the power voltage.

Rabindran discloses a switching system which is able to connect multiple power supplies to a circuit using a switching mechanism. The switches are connected to 2 different power supplies, one high and one low, and can switch between the levels according to an operating signal (column 2 line 61 – column 3 line 22). Irrinki discloses that his system tests the memory array at high and low voltages, to prove that the array will work in a wide range of operating conditions (column 9 lines 53-55, column 10 lines 1-3). Irrinki does not disclose whether the method used to change the voltage input includes one or two separate power sources, as it is not essential to the invention. Using Rabindran's system would enable the voltage to switch between high and low states as required by Irrinki. Additionally, simple voltage switching systems are very well known in the art and can be adapted to a wide variety of electrical systems. The use of Hseih's multiple memory array system would also enable the system to test multiple memory arrays, as discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the voltage switching system of Rabindran into the testing systems of Hseih and Irrinki, enabling the system to vary voltage levels.

Claims 30, 41, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Irrinki (US 5,987,632) in view of Hseih (US 5,710,550), and Rabindran (US 4,173,029), as applied above, and in further view of Microsoft Computer Dictionary (fifth edition).

As per claim 30, Rabindran discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 29, wherein the first power line is directly connected to the second pad (Rabindran figure 1: power supply is directly connected to a power line)

Irrinki, Hseih, and Rabindran do not disclose:

[the first power line] is connected to the first pad through a switch transistor.

Microsoft Computer Dictionary discloses that a transistor is a commonly used solid state device which can be used for switching applications. It is also disclosed that a transistor is a fundamental component of almost all modern electronics. It would therefore be obvious to use a transistor to as a switching element between the first and second power supplies, as it is the fundamental component of almost all modern electronics.

As per claim 41, Rabindran discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 40, wherein the first power line is directly connected to the second pad (Rabindran figure 1: power supply is directly connected to a power line)

Irrinki, Hseih, and Rabindran do not disclose:

[the first power line] is connected to the first pad through a switch transistor.

Microsoft Computer Dictionary discloses that a transistor is a commonly used solid state device which can be used for switching applications. It is also disclosed that a transistor is a fundamental component of almost all modern electronics. It would therefore be obvious to use a transistor to as a switching element between the first and second power supplies, as it is the fundamental component of almost all modern electronics.

As per claim 53, Rabindran discloses:

The semiconductor memory device of claim 52, wherein the first power line is directly connected to the second pad (Rabindran figure 1: power supply is directly connected to a power line)

Irrinki, Hseih, and Rabindran do not disclose:

[the first power line] is connected to the first pad through a switch transistor.

Microsoft Computer Dictionary discloses that a transistor is a commonly used solid state device which can be used for switching applications. It is also disclosed that

Art Unit: 2113

a transistor is a fundamental component of almost all modern electronics. It would therefore be obvious to use a transistor to as a switching element between the first and second power supplies, as it is the fundamental component of almost all modern electronics.

### ***Allowable Matter***

Claims 31, 33-36, 42, 44-47, 54, 55, 56, and 58 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt Urick whose telephone number is (571) 272-0805. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Art Unit: 2113

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HV

*Robert H. Branson*  
ROBERT H. BRANSON  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
1000 P STREET, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004  
(202) 462-1000